



Leicester  
City Council

# DRAFT MINUTE EXTRACT

Minutes of the Meeting of the  
CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Held: TUESDAY, 3 NOVEMBER 2009 at 5.30pm

## PRESENT

Councillor Corrall – Chair  
Councillor Bajaj – Vice-Chair

Councillor Johnson	Councillor Desai (for Cllr Naylor)
Councillor Newcombe	Councillor Scuplak
Councillor Senior	

## Co-opted Members

Mr Mohammed	-	Parent Governor (Primary /Special Needs)
Alauddin Al-Azad	-	

## Also In Attendance

Councillor Dempster      Cabinet Lead Member for Children and Schools.

\* \* \* \* \*

## **22. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies were received from Councillor Suleman and Mr M Asquith, Church of England Diocese.

## **23. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Members were asked to declare any interests they had in the business on the agenda, and/or indicate that Section 106 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 applied to them.

No declarations were made.

## **31. ANY OTHER URGENT BUSINESS**

Under Scrutiny Procedure Rule 15 the Chair agreed to take the following item as urgent business on the grounds that it was necessary to allow consideration of the item before Cabinet.

**13-19 INTEGRATED SERVICES HUBS (ISH)  
CAPITAL STRATEGY**

**AOUB 1**

The Strategic Director, Children, submitted a report that detailed the direction of travel for the capital strategy for the development of Integrated Services Hubs across each of the eight neighbourhoods within the City and listed the preferred location of the hubs in each neighbourhood. It was stated that the criteria identified in the Options Appraisal had been agreed with the stakeholders. It was stated that it was intended that the Mayfield Family Centre would cover 0-19 and that the use of Moat Community College was in line with Building Schools for the Future plans.

Following questions from Members it was noted that there were no planned reduction in services elsewhere as a result of the proposals and that there would be a further report on the development of Hubs and the revenue strategy and each location would have a youth support centre. An options appraisal was still required for the South of the City and it was noted that the Samworth Academy had indicated that they were happy to work with the Council but that there were other options also to be considered. It was noted that there was scope to add further sites in the East of the City though Crown Hills had been picked following an assessment of where the greatest need was in that area. If BSF funding turned out not to be available it would be necessary to re-calculate and streamline the programme. It was noted that the range of services to be co-located would be with the agreement of young people.

**RESOLVED:**

That the report be noted.